## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAY 9 1 2024

|  |  | " A 1 CUC4 +                                      |
|--|--|---|
| VIRGINIA HANKES, et al.,   | Case No.: RG18899286                                     | By State Surviva COURT                            |
| Plaintiffs, vs.  | )<br>)   | , ,   |
| vo.  | SPECIAL VERDICT FO                                       | <b>DRM</b>  |
| O'REILLY AUTO ENTERPRISES, LLC (FKA CSK AUTO INC.),  |  |   |
| Defendants.  | )<br>)<br>)  |   |
| We, the Jury, answer the following questions sul   | bmitted to us:   |   |
| NEGLIGENCE - PI  | RODUCTS LIABILITY  |   |
| 1. Was O'Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC, a.k.a. Checand Borg-Warner brand clutches?  |  | selling Bendix brand brakes                       |
| YesNo  |  | ,   |
| If your answer to Question 1 is "Yes," then answer   | Question 2. If your answer i                             | s "No," skip to Question 3.                       |
| 2. Was the negligence of O'Reilly Auto Enterprises, land Borg-Warner clutches a substantial factor in ca   | LLC, a.k.a. Checker Auto Parausing Gene Hankes, Sr.'s m  | rts, in selling Bendix brakes esothelioma?        |
| Yes No   |  |   |
| Answer th  | e next question.   |   |
| STRICT LIABI   | LITY - PRODUCTS  | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,           |
| 3. Did the Bendix brand brakes and Borg-Warner branda.k.a. Checker Auto Parts, fail to perform as safely a in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way? | nd clutches sold by O'Reilly as an ordinary consumer wou | Auto Enterprises, LLC, ld have expected when used |
| YesNo  |  |   |
| If your answer to Question 3 is "Yes," then answer your answer to Ouestion 2 was "Yes." then skip to   | Question 4. If your answer to<br>Question 5              | Question 3 is "No," and                           |

3.

If your answer to Question 3 is "No," and your answer to Question 1 or 2 was "No," stop here, answer no

further questions, and have the Presiding Juror sign and date this form.

| YesNo  |
|--|
| If you answered "Yes" to either Questions 2 or 4, then answer the next question. Otherwise, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.  |
| ECONOMIC DAMAGES   |
| 5. What is the amount, if any, of the economic damages of Virginia Hankes?   |
| \$   |
| Answer the next question.  |
| NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES   |
| 6. What is the amount of the non-economic damages, if any, of Plaintiffs Virginia Hankes, Sheila Neuburger, Gene Hankes, Jr., Lora Pearce, and Leanne Montagna for the loss of Gene Hankes, Sr.'s love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, and moral support? (Do not include any amounts for Gene Hankes, Sr.'s own pain and suffering and do not reduce the amount by the percentage of fault, if any, you attribute to any other party.) |
| Virginia Hankes: \$  |
| Sheila Neuburger, Gene Hankes, Jr., Lora Pearce, and Leanne Montagna: \$   |
|  |
| Answer the next question.  |
| APPORTIONMENT  |
| 7. Assuming that 100% represents the total fault for Mr. Gene Hankes, Sr.'s mesothelioma, what percentage of this 100%, if any, was due to the fault of those listed below?  |
| Gene Hankes, Sr.:  |
| O'Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC, a.k.a. Checker Auto Parts:   |
| All Others:%   |
|  |
| Dated: $5/20/2024$ Total = 100 %  PRESIDING JUROR  |

4. Was the failure of Bendix brakes and Borg-Warner clutches sold by O'Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC, a.k.a. Checker Auto Parts, to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected a substantial factor

in causing Gene Hankes, Sr.'s mesothelioma?